Annual CIPAC/FAO/WHO Report Form on the Quality Control of Pesticides

Country/Name and Address of the Institution (contact person):

Czech Republic
Central Institute for Supervising and Testing in Agriculture (CISTA)
National Reference Laboratory
Department of Testing Plant Protection Products
Zemědělská 1A, 613 00 Brno
Phone: +420-545 110 412, Fax: +420-545 211 078

Contact person: Olga Nováková (e-mail: olga.novakova@ukzuz.cz)

1 - Essential Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting period/year:</th>
<th>Number of samples analyzed (1)</th>
<th>Number of non-compliance (2)</th>
<th>Uses (3) (optional)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>85 (63+22)</td>
<td>27 (22+5)</td>
<td>Agricultural use: 85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Public Health use: -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Home and Garden use: included in total,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other uses (please specify):</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Any sample, including those of active inspection and registration control samples.

Number of sample (samples from postregistration control + samples within the process of PPP authorization)

(2) Non-compliance with FAO/WHO or national pesticide specifications (or Commission Implementing Regulation (EU)).

The reason of non-compliance:

a) Samples from postregistration control
5 samples – lower or higher content of active ingredients and relevant impurities
14 samples – differences in fyz-chem properties with FAO or national pesticide specifications
1 sample – different amount of active ingredients and differences in fyz-chem properties
2 different chemical composition (co-formulants)

b) Samples within the process of PPP authorization
4 samples – different chemical composition (e.g. impurities, formulation ingredients…)
1 sample – different amount of active ingredients and different chemical composition (co-formulants)

(3) If possible, please indicate the use/destination of the pesticide analyzed. If the pesticide has various uses, it should be included only in one category and should be explained under item 2 (comments).

2 - Any comments and/or background information
63 plant protection products (PPP), including 23 parallel imports and suspicious samples, were laboratory checked within the postregistration control and 22 PPP within the process of PPP authorization. All 85 samples (43 different active ingredients) were analyzed for active ingredient content and their relevant impurities content before and after storage stability test at 54ºC, 40ºC or 35 ºC. Moreover liquid formulations of samples were subjected also to stability test at 0ºC for 7 days. Physical and chemical properties of samples were tested according to recommendation in FAO specification or national pesticides specification (2302 laboratory tests). Samples of EC formulations were analyzed for xylene. Parallel imports and suspicious samples were compared with their referent products. All samples represent 10 types of formulations.

3. Department of Testing Plant Protection Products in following collaborative and PT trials

a) CIPAC Activities:
- Chlorantraniliprole (Rynaxapyr)

b) ESPAC Activities:


c) AAPCO Activities (2015)
Successful participation in:
- propiconazole
- deltamethrin

c) AFSCA Activities - Proficiency testing of physicochemical properties of pesticides formulations

Successful participation in all tested parameters in WG formulation (2015):
- Active ingredient content – deltamethrin
- Water content (CIPAC MT 30.5);
- Acidity or alkalinity of formulation (CIPAC MT 191);
- pH of 1% dilution (CIPAC MT 75.3);
- Wettability (CIPAC MT 53.3);
- Wet sieve test (CIPAC MT 185);
- Spontaneity of dispersion (CIPAC MT 174);
- Suspensibility (CIPAC MT 184);
- Foaming properties (CIPAC MT 47.3);
- Flowability after heat test under pressure (CIPAC MT 172).

4. – Accreditation of Laboratory

Department of Testing Plant Protection Products was accredited according to EN ISO/IEC 17025:2005 by Czech accreditation body in May 2008 and received Certificate of Accreditation. In 2015 laboratory was successfully reaccredited and obtained status of flexible accreditation. Certificate of Accreditation is valid until 2020. In 2015 laboratory extended the number of accredited method by determination of ethylenglykol and propylenglykol by GC/FID.

Laboratory has 16 accredited methods:
- Determination of density of liquid formulations by tensiometer TD 1 Lauda according to CIPAC MT 3.1 and MT 3.3.1
- Potenciometric determination of pH value of a mixture of a sample with water or of an undiluted aqueous formulation according to CIPAC MT 75.3
- Wet sieve test – gravimetric determination of nondispersible material in formulations according to CIPAC MT 185
- Wetting of wettable powders and granules by visual method according to CIPAC MT 53.3.1
- Determination of water by Karl Fischer method according to CIPAC MT 30.5
- Dilution stability of pesticide aqueous solutions by visual method according to CIPAC MT 41
- Stability of liquid formulations at 0°C by visual method according to CIPAC MT 39.3
- Determination of particle size distribution by laser diffraction according to CIPAC MT 187
- Wet sieve test – gravimetric determination of nondispersible material in formulations according to CIPAC MT 167
- Pourability – gravimetric determination of the residue R and the rinsed residue r according to CIPAC MT 148 and CIPAC MT 148.1
- Determination of persistent foaming by visual method according to CIPAC MT 47.2
- Determination of emulsion stability EC and EW formulations according to CIPAC MT 36.3
- Spectrophotometric determination of free chlorophenols as 2,4-dichlorophenol or as 4-chloro-2-methylphenol according to CIPAC MT 69.1-69.6
- Determination of xylene in EC formulations by GC-FID method
- Determination of Glyphosate by HPLC/UV method according to CIPAC 284/SL/(M)/-
- Determination of ethyleneglykol and propyleneglykol by GC method with FID detection